
This submission responds to the OHCHR Note Verbale’s request for information for the preparation of the upcoming OHCHR thematic study on preventable maternal mortality and morbidity and human rights. It first presents the human rights legal framework on maternal mortality and morbidity, under which states have a legal obligation to ensure women’s safety throughout pregnancy and childbirth. It then describes a sample of the key issues that contribute to high rates of maternal mortality and morbidity and which constitute violations of government’s international human rights obligations. Finally, it briefly discusses the importance of accountability mechanisms in addressing preventable maternal mortality and morbidity.

Part I: International Legal Framework

International and regional human rights treaties provide the legal foundation for women’s sexual and reproductive rights, including the rights related to safe pregnancy and childbirth. These rights have been further developed by the concluding observations, general comments and decisions of the United Nations Treaty Monitoring Bodies (TMBs). Human rights treaties and TMB jurisprudence establish that preventable maternal mortality and morbidity implicate several human rights, in particular the rights to life, the highest attainable standard of health, equality and non-discrimination, reproductive self-determination, the right to information and the right to an effective remedy.

A. The Right to Life

International and regional guarantees of the right to life require governments to safeguard individuals from arbitrary and preventable loss of life. Most maternal deaths are preventable, and therefore a systematic failure by governments to provide the services needed by women to survive childbirth constitutes a violation of the right to life. Moreover, the obligation of states to
protect women’s right to life in the context of pregnancy and childbirth has explicitly been recognized by international and regional bodies. For example, the Human Rights Committee (HRC), the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW Committee), and the African Commission on Human and People’s Rights have all characterized preventable maternal mortality as a violation of women’s right to life. These bodies have established the link between unsafe and illegal abortion and high rates of maternal mortality, and have asked states to ensure that women are not forced to undergo clandestine abortions that endanger their lives.

B. The Right to Health

The fundamental right to the highest attainable standard of health is recognized in various international and regional human rights treaties, and encompasses the right to sexual and reproductive health. The Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (CESCR Committee) has explained that the right to health consists of both freedoms and entitlements: “freedoms include the right to control one’s health and body, including sexual and reproductive freedom,” and “entitlements include the right to a system of health protection which provides equality of opportunity for people to enjoy the highest attainable level of health.” The CESCR Committee has further stated that an essential component of the right to health is the availability, accessibility, and quality of health facilities, goods and services. Women’s right to health is therefore violated when governments do not provide them with reproductive health care services that meet these standards.

International and regional human rights instruments and bodies have established states’ obligations regarding the provision of the quality health care services women need for safe pregnancy and childbirth. For example, the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) article 10(2) guarantees special protection for women during a reasonable period before and after childbirth, and article 15(1)(b) guarantees to all the right to enjoy the benefits of scientific progress and its applications. The CESCR Committee has established that to improve maternal health, states must take measures to provide access to family planning, pre- and post-natal care, and emergency obstetric care (EmOC). The CEDAW Committee, the Committee on the Rights of the Child, and the CESCR Committee have all emphasized that women and girls also have the right to information on family planning services, and the Committee Against Torture has established that they have the right to access post-abortion care. CEDAW article 12(2) requires States to “ensure to women appropriate services in connection with pregnancy, confinement and the post-natal-period, granting free services where necessary,” and the CEDAW Committee has emphasized that states must “ensure women’s right to safe motherhood and emergency obstetric services.” The Protocol to the African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights on the Rights of Women in Africa (Maputo Protocol) also requires states to: provide adequate, affordable, and accessible health services to
women; establish and strengthen ante-natal, delivery, and post-natal health and nutrition services for women during pregnancy; and to authorize abortion in enumerated cases, which include when the pregnancy endangers the mental and physical health of the pregnant woman.\textsuperscript{16}

\textbf{C. The Right to Equality and Non-Discrimination}

The right to equality and non-discrimination, regardless of gender, race, or other status, is protected under international and regional human rights law.\textsuperscript{17} Because only women require health care services for pregnancy and childbirth, systematic government failure to provide such services reflects the devaluation of women in society and constitutes discrimination on the basis of gender. Indeed, CEDAW explicitly prohibits discrimination against women in all fields, including health care,\textsuperscript{18} and the CEDAW Committee has found that it is discriminatory for states to criminalize or refuse to legally provide certain reproductive health services for women.\textsuperscript{19}

Furthermore, governments are obliged under international law to ensure that women do not face discrimination on the basis of age, income, race, ethnicity, HIV status or any other condition when accessing health care services.\textsuperscript{20} Most of the TMBs have noted that rural,\textsuperscript{21} poor,\textsuperscript{22} indigenous,\textsuperscript{23} Afro-descendent,\textsuperscript{24} and ethnic and religious minority women\textsuperscript{25} often face additional obstacles to accessing reproductive health care, and have expressed concern that these groups have disproportionately higher maternal mortality rates than the general population.\textsuperscript{26} The CESC\textsuperscript{R} Committee has stated that strategies for promoting women’s health are needed to eliminate discrimination against women, and should include high quality and affordable sexual and reproductive health services and have the goal of reducing maternal mortality.\textsuperscript{27} TMBs have also emphasized that states must ensure that adolescents are able to access sexual and reproductive health services,\textsuperscript{28} since a lack of resources and education, among other barriers, often prevent adolescents from obtaining needed care. It has also stated that “unequal access by adolescents to sexual and reproductive health information and services amounts to discrimination.”\textsuperscript{29}

\textbf{D. The Right to Reproductive Self-Determination (the right to determine the number of spacing of children, and the rights to liberty, personal integrity and privacy)}

Women’s right to reproductive self-determination finds legal support in international guarantees of the right to determine the number and spacing of children\textsuperscript{30} and the rights to liberty, personal integrity and privacy.\textsuperscript{31} The right to determine the number and spacing of children is based on recognition of the overall impact of childbearing and rearing on women’s physical and mental health, as well as women’s access to education, employment, and other activities related to their personal development.\textsuperscript{32} Government failure to provide reproductive health services in connection with pregnancy and childbirth violates women’s rights to reproductive self-determination because it denies them the freedom and ability to safely control their family life, in
particular the number and spacing of children. Moreover, women without the means to control their fertility are more likely to experience unwanted pregnancies and have multiple births at shorter intervals, making them more vulnerable to the risks of maternal mortality and morbidity.

E. The Right to Information

The right to information is protected under international and regional human rights law and is a necessary part of women’s ability to make choices with respect to their sexual and reproductive lives and to access health services needed to ensure healthy pregnancy and delivery. CEDAW establishes that states must provide “access to the information, education, and means” to enable women to decide freely and responsibly on the number and spacing of their children. The Child Rights Committee has emphasized that states “should provide adolescents with access to sexual and reproductive information, including on family planning and contraceptives, the dangers of early pregnancy, the prevention of HIV/AIDS and the prevention and treatment of sexually transmitted diseases (STDs).”

F. The Right to an Effective Remedy

International and regional human rights law requires states to provide legal remedies and redress for human rights violations. The CEDAW Committee has noted that the obligation of states to protect women’s right to health care includes “put[ting] in place a system that ensures effective judicial action.” The CESCR Committee has likewise recognized the rights of victims of violations of the right to health to access judicial or other remedies and adequate reparation in the form of restitution, compensation, satisfaction or guarantees of non-repetition. Similarly, the HRC has emphasized that states must ensure “accessible and effective remedies” for human rights violations and to take into account “the special vulnerability of certain categories of person.” Furthermore, it has noted that “a failure by a State Party to investigate allegations of violations could in and of itself give rise to a separate breach of the Covenant.” Failure to provide an effective remedy for violations of women’s rights in connection with pregnancy and childbirth therefore constitutes an additional violation of their rights, and increases the likelihood that such abuses, which contribute to greater levels of maternal mortality and morbidity, will continue to occur with impunity.

G. Immediate Effect of State Obligations to Prevent Maternal Mortality

International human rights treaties and their interpretations by human rights bodies have made clear that many of the obligations states must undertake to prevent maternal mortality and morbidity are of immediate effect. While ICESCR recognizes that many of the rights it guarantees are subject to “progressive realization,” and requires states to take steps to achieve those rights to the maximum of their available resources, ICESCR also imposes certain “core
obligations” that are of immediate effect.\textsuperscript{41} The CESCR Committee has emphasized that “a State Party cannot, under any circumstances whatsoever, justify its non-compliance with the core obligations…which are non-derogable.”\textsuperscript{42} The CESCR Committee has stated that the provision of maternal health services is comparable to a core obligation,\textsuperscript{43} and that states have the immediate obligation to take “deliberate, concrete and targeted steps” towards fulfilling the right to health.\textsuperscript{44} Furthermore, the CESCR Committee has established that non-discrimination is an obligation of immediate effect\textsuperscript{45} and, as described earlier, systematic government failure to address maternal mortality constitutes gender discrimination. CEDAW also establishes that state obligations to address maternal mortality are of immediate effect, since CEDAW requires State parties to “ensure” services for maternal health and to “ensure” equality in access to health services.\textsuperscript{46} International law scholars have argued that the obligations to “ensure” are more immediate in character and not subject to the qualification of progressive realization, in contrast to obligations to “recognize.”\textsuperscript{47}

\textbf{Part II: Factors Contributing to Maternal Mortality}

Maternal mortality has a number of direct and indirect medical causes. The most common direct medical causes are hemorrhage, obstructed labor, infection (sepsis) and hypertensive disorders related to pregnancy, such as eclampsia.\textsuperscript{48} These conditions are largely preventable and, once detected, they are treatable. Complications from unsafe abortion are another common and preventable direct cause of maternal death.\textsuperscript{49} Indirect causes – conditions or diseases that can lead to complications in pregnancy or are aggravated by pregnancy – include anemia, malaria and HIV/AIDS.\textsuperscript{50}

At the same time, the medical causes of maternal mortality are often rooted in structural barriers that prevent women from accessing the care and services they need. These issues include socio-economic exclusion and discrimination, health system failures, and policy failures that are linked to human rights violations.

A. Socio-Economic Exclusion and Discrimination

The failure of states parties to ensure women’s access to maternal health care is indicative of entrenched societal discrimination against women generally. At the same time, certain groups of women are particularly vulnerable to maternal mortality and morbidity due to their status in society. These women face double discrimination\textsuperscript{51} in accessing maternal health care. This section examines the various socio-economic factors that increase women’s vulnerability to mortality and morbidity during childbirth.
Poverty

In many countries, maternal mortality rates are highest in regions that also have high poverty levels and largely rural populations. For example, in India, the estimated maternal mortality rates in poor, rural states are significantly higher than the estimated rates at the national level.\(^{52}\)

Poverty contributes to maternal mortality in a number of ways. First, the high cost of reproductive health services discourages women with limited economic resources from accessing family planning, antenatal care or skilled delivery.\(^{53}\) Even government waiver systems, introduced in many countries to provide free family planning or maternal health care services, are often ineffective because they are not consistently implemented and women continue to be charged informal user fees.\(^{54}\)

Second, women often face discrimination and other human rights violations at health facilities based on their socio-economic status. In Kenya, for example, the Center has documented cases where women who were unable to pay for services received partial or no care, were abused by staff during the provision of care, or were forcibly detained in the health facility after receiving care until payment was made.\(^{55}\) These practices are not unique to Kenya. They take place around the world and violate a woman’s rights to dignity and not to be subjected to cruel, degrading and inhuman treatment.\(^{56}\)

Finally, inadequate public funding for health services can limit available services, particularly in poor regions of a country. In Brazil, for example, the transfer of federal funds to states and municipalities tends to favor richer states, and these discriminatory funding patterns have been connected to a lack of accessible, quality health services in poorer regions.\(^{57}\) These situations violate low-income women’s right to health, since health care must be both accessible and affordable for all no matter their geographic location or socio-economic status.\(^{58}\)

**Underlying determinants of health**

Poor and marginalized populations are also less likely to have access to non-medical goods and services that are essential for good health generally and maternal health specifically. These underlying determinants of health include, among others: access to nutrition, clean water and proper sanitation; participation in health-related decision making; and information on sexual and reproductive health.\(^{59}\) TMBs have repeatedly found that everyone has the right to access these underlying determinants of health.\(^{60}\)

Non-discrimination and gender equality in society, or the lack thereof, are also determinants of women’s health. Systematic discrimination against women in society—including denials of property and inheritance rights, marginalization in the workforce, and subjugation in the
family—leaves women with fewer economic resources, and makes it more difficult for women to access food, nutrition, and health care throughout their lives. This may prevent women from accessing reproductive health care, and leave them more vulnerable to pregnancy-related health complications.

Access to education is another important determinant of health. Around the world, women’s low rates of literacy and education strongly correlate to high rates of maternal mortality as well as other indices of maternal health, including fertility rate, utilization of prenatal care, met need for contraception and higher age at first birth. Lack of education adversely affects women’s health by limiting their knowledge of nutrition, birth spacing, and contraception. Additionally, in some countries, education can be a key determinant of quality of care, with less educated women facing greater discrimination within health care facilities.

Adolescents

Adolescent girls are particularly vulnerable to pregnancy and childbirth-related harm; in fact, complications during or post-pregnancy are the leading cause of death for young women and girls between the ages of 15 and 19 in developing countries. These risks are linked both to the physical immaturity of adolescent girls as well as to the barriers that adolescents face in accessing reproductive health services and information. TMBs have widely acknowledged that access to accurate, comprehensive reproductive health services and information is vital to empowering adolescents to make decisions that protect their health, and therefore required under human rights treaty obligations.

Discrimination based on social or ethnic group

Women from certain social classes or ethnic groups often face discrimination in accessing health and other social services on the basis of their social status. In India, for example, studies show that while certain disadvantaged castes make up 16% of India’s population, they represent at least 25% of all maternal deaths. In Brazil, Afro-descendant populations also have less access to contraceptives, more pregnancies and higher rates of maternal death than non-Afro-descendant women. Women from disadvantaged or stigmatized groups generally have limited access to resources, education, routine preventive health care, nutrition and decent living conditions, which increases their vulnerability to maternal mortality and morbidity.

Discrimination against HIV-positive women

HIV-positive women require skilled care to minimize HIV-related complications during pregnancy, delivery and post-natal recovery, and to minimize the risk of HIV transmission from mother to child. However, they often receive sub-standard maternal health care – or are denied
care altogether – because of their HIV status. In some cases, medical staff may refuse to provide HIV-positive women with maternal care because they do not have supplies to protect themselves from infection during the procedure.\(^7\) Health care workers may also subject HIV-positive women to overt abuse and violence by withholding contraceptives, reprimanding them for bearing children, and turning them away from public health facilities.\(^7\) In India, a pregnant 30-year old mother of four named Gita Bai was turned away from a public hospital and forced to give birth on the street because she was HIV-positive. She later died of excessive bleeding and sepsis.\(^7\)

Discrimination based on health status, including HIV status, is expressly prohibited under international human rights obligations.\(^7\) TMBs have also repeatedly acknowledged the difficulties that women from disadvantaged groups face in accessing maternal and other reproductive health services,\(^7\) and they have recommended that states parties take additional measures to ensure that these women are able to access care.\(^7\)

**Harmful traditional practices**

A number of harmful traditional practices increase women’s and girls’ risk of maternal mortality and morbidity. Female genital mutilation, for example, can increase a woman’s risk of maternal mortality and morbidity as scar tissue from the procedure can contribute to obstructed labor and excessive bleeding during delivery.\(^7\) Child marriage and early pregnancy is also linked both directly and indirectly to high rates of maternal death and morbidity worldwide.\(^7\) TMBs have explicitly condemned harmful traditional practices such as child marriage and FGM, and have noted the link between such practices and maternal mortality and morbidity.\(^8\)

**B. Failures within Health Care Systems**

**Inadequate access to family planning information and services and access to safe abortion**

UNFPA estimates that one in three deaths related to pregnancy and childbirth could be avoided if all women had access to contraceptive services.\(^8\) Women lack access to family planning services for a number of reasons, including high cost of services;\(^8\) decisions by health care staff not to provide comprehensive information and services to patients;\(^8\) and discrimination against women who try to access services.\(^8\) Government policies often work to limit or deny access to contraceptives as well. In the Philippines, for example, the Center found that a ban on modern contraceptives in Manila City’s public health facilities has effectively blocked access to contraceptives for the majority of the city’s population, particularly low-income women.\(^8\)
Women who cannot access family planning services often face unwanted pregnancies. Women denied access to legal abortion often undergo unsafe abortions, which carry a high risk of maternal mortality and morbidity. Nigeria, for example, where abortion is only permitted to save a woman’s life, has one of the highest rates of maternal mortality in the world, and it is estimated that unsafe abortions lead to 34,000 maternal deaths each year. Estimates also suggest that for every maternal death caused by an unsafe abortion in Nigeria, there are 30 cases of long-term injury and disability.

Moreover, women often need post-abortion care to prevent death or lasting injury, but they may decide not to seek it for fear of being reported to the authorities. Health care workers may also delay care if they suspect a woman has undergone an abortion. In Kenya, for example, the Center documented the case of a woman who reported to a hospital to receive care for a miscarriage only to be suspected of having an abortion. The staff refused to treat her until she would “admit” to having an abortion, and she later died of related complications.

Financial, infrastructural and institutional barriers to quality care

Women face financial, infrastructural and institutional barriers that delay or prevent access to quality maternal health care services. These barriers start at the community level, as women often live long distances from health care facilities and lack access to reliable and affordable transportation. Human rights and public health standards obligate states parties to improve the accessibility of maternal health services, including by ensuring that there are a sufficient number of well-distributed health centers that provide basic and comprehensive EmOC services. The CESC R Committee, for example, has interpreted accessibility of health care to require governments to ensure that medical services “are within safe, physical reach, including in rural areas.”

Conditions at health care facilities can further threaten a pregnant woman’s health. These include long waiting periods, even in emergency situations, and staff who lack the skills and training to deal with obstetric complications. Health facilities may be dangerously understaffed or lack essential medicines, supplies and equipment. In obstetric emergencies, a dysfunctional referral system and lack of reliable transportation can prevent a woman from accessing a facility that is equipped to provide EmOC services. These barriers violate women’s right to health. For example, the CESC R Committee has explicitly stated that health care facilities must be sanitary, staffed with skilled personnel, and stocked with adequate drugs and equipment, among other requirements.

The damage caused by these barriers is demonstrated in the case of Alyne da Silva Pimentel, an Afro-Brazilian woman and a resident of one of Rio de Janeiro’s poorest districts. Alyne faced repeated delays in receiving access to emergency obstetric care when she was six months
pregnant and needed induced delivery and post-delivery care to prevent a life threatening complication. The delays prevented Alyne from receiving the care she needed and lead to her death, which was preventable.\textsuperscript{99}

C. Policy Failures

The barriers to maternal health care discussed above are closely linked to broader failures of policy and good governance at the state and local levels.

\textit{Lack of comprehensive policies and implementation}

High rates of maternal mortality are often exacerbated by government failures to either enact comprehensive sexual and reproductive health laws and policies or to adequately implement these policies. Moreover in many cases, oversight mechanisms for maternal health care services are not in place or are inadequately implemented. In Nigeria, for example, there are no policies or laws that require the compulsory and confidential reporting and documentation of maternal deaths, data that is essential to tracking patterns of maternal mortality and formulating effective policy interventions.\textsuperscript{100}

\textit{Resource allocation and corruption}

Systematic under-funding of public maternal health services plays an important role in maternal mortality and morbidity as it often results in a lack of adequate health facilities, trained staff, and equipment and supplies necessary to provide EmOC services. Funding shortages also undermine fee waiver systems as health care providers will be more likely to charge informal fees to cover short falls in equipment, supplies and salaries.\textsuperscript{101}

Lack of proper resource allocation is often connected to systemic corruption within health care systems. This corruption originates from both public and private actors and takes many forms, including the diversion of public funds for private use by high-level government officials, marketing of fake drugs by pharmaceutical companies, and demands for informal payments by health care providers.\textsuperscript{102} Lack of transparency and restricted freedom of information can also prevent the public from holding states parties accountable for how public funds are spent – including their failure to adequately fund maternal health services.\textsuperscript{103}

\textbf{Part III: Importance of Accountability Mechanisms}

Accessible, transparent, and effective mechanisms are fundamental to improving the existing policies and programs to reduce maternal mortality and morbidity. Such mechanisms can include a range of institutions and processes, ranging from impact assessments and policy
review, to legislative processes and courts. They should be used to clarify who is responsible for what and whether needed actions have been taken, and can also support those responsible in meeting their obligations.

Moreover, accountability mechanisms should provide women with redress when their rights to accessible, affordable and quality health services are violated. These mechanisms do not exist or do not function properly in many countries. Even where they are in place, patients often fail to gain redress because they do not know their rights or how to access redress mechanisms or they receive hostile responses from medical staff when they try to educate themselves about their rights. Oversight mechanisms may also lack sufficient staff and funding to process complaints in a timely manner.

***

The legal framework governing maternal mortality and morbidity discussed in Part I makes it clear that states have a legal obligation to prevent violations of women’s rights in connection with pregnancy, delivery, and recovery. This involves eliminating the barriers described in Part II and establishing adequate accountability mechanisms as described in Part III in order to effectively end preventable maternal mortality and morbidity.
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