Access to safe and legal abortion services is a critical component of women’s fundamental rights, including the rights to equality, non-discrimination, autonomy, privacy, life, health, and decide on the number and spacing of one’s children. Where states make abortion services inaccessible to women – either by law or in practice – they deny women reproductive autonomy by depriving them of the decision of whether or not to carry a pregnancy to term. Due to biological differences and socialized gender roles within the family and society, this has the effect of reinforcing women’s inequality and marginalization. This fact sheet provides background information for the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) and the Human Rights Committee (HRC) on advancing the human rights standards on abortion, including information on the current human rights standards surrounding abortion services; how denying women the right to make decisions about pregnancy violates their rights to autonomy and equality; and ways to strengthen the human rights standards on abortion to ensure the respect, protection, and fulfillment of women’s fundamental human rights.

**International human rights norms surrounding abortion**

- United Nations treaty monitoring bodies (TMBs), including the Human Rights Committee (HRC) and Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), have elucidated the connection between restrictive abortion laws, unsafe abortion, and high rates of maternal mortality and morbidity, discussing the implications of unsafe abortion on women’s rights to life and health, and urging states to review their abortion laws.¹

- TMBs have also called on states to create exceptions to restrictive abortion laws in instances where a pregnancy poses a risk to a woman’s life or health,² in instances of severe fetal impairment,³ and when pregnancy results from rape or incest.⁴

- The HRC and CEDAW are the only two TMBs that have issued decisions on individual petitions pertaining to abortion. In these decisions, they have recognized that states’ failure to ensure women’s access to legal abortion services constitutes violations of the rights to health; privacy; non-discrimination; freedom from sex roles and stereotyping; and freedom from cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment.⁵ Other TMBs have reinforced through their concluding observations that where abortion is legal, states must ensure that it is accessible.⁶

- TMBs have also framed barriers to women’s access to abortion services – including cost, waiting periods, mandatory and biased counseling, conscientious objection, and third-party authorization requirements – as potential human rights violations.⁷

**Restrictive abortion laws and barriers deny women’s rights to autonomy and equality**

Guaranteeing women’s reproductive rights is fundamental to enabling women to exercise autonomy and self-determination in their reproductive lives. Fulfillment of the rights to formal and substantive equality and non-discrimination are essential to the realization of reproductive rights. Reproductive rights are rights that predominately affect women for two primary reasons:

- **Biology:** As only women become pregnant, legal, regulatory and practical barriers denying women the ability to decide whether to carry a pregnancy to term have the impact of compelling women to become mothers, thereby undermining women’s agency in crucial decisions affecting their lives and opportunities. Women must also bear the health risks of childbirth, which can result in mortality or lifelong disability.
Gender Discrimination, Gender Stereotyping, and Women’s Traditional Roles: Due to women’s socialized role as the primary caregiver, the burden of being forced to have a child is carried disproportionately by women both in the time spent caregiving and in the resulting limitations to seeking education and employment and the ability to enter public and political life.

Restrictions on access to abortion information and services violate the rights to equality and non-discrimination, as well as myriad other human rights, including the rights to privacy, physical integrity, health, information and determine the number and spacing of one’s children. Such restrictions, including restrictive abortion laws and barriers inhibiting women’s access to legal abortion services – such as biased counseling, mandatory waiting periods, third-party authorization requirements, conscientious objection, limitations on information about abortion services, and limitations on abortion funding – institutionalize discriminatory stereotypes that women are not competent decision-makers and that their primary role is parenting. Such restrictions are based on the notion that women themselves are not competent to make informed, rational decisions about their bodies; in this sense, they demean women as decision-makers.

Denying women the right to decide whether to carry a pregnancy to term or failing to enable them to effectively exercise this right nullifies women’s exercise of their autonomy. The narrow exceptions to restrictive abortion laws that TMBs are currently urging states to implement are inadequate for the fulfillment of women’s human rights. In order to ensure women’s fundamental human rights, women themselves must have the authority to determine whether or not to carry a pregnancy to term. States must take all appropriate measures to ensure that women have the right and the necessary resources to exercise their reproductive autonomy, including liberalizing abortion laws, guaranteeing women access to safe and legal abortion services, and taking measures to address the gendered effects that parenthood has on women due to entrenched socialized roles and gender-based stereotypes.

Recommendations

CEDAW and the Human Rights Committee would make important contributions to developing and clarifying the scope of states’ obligations related to reproductive rights by clearly placing these rights in the context of the rights to equality and autonomy in their jurisprudence.

- Building upon the broader concluding observations that CEDAW and the HRC have issued urging states to amend their abortion laws to be in compliance with their respective treaties, CEDAW and the HRC should consider systematically urging states to amend their abortion laws to guarantee women’s right to equality by enabling them to exercise their reproductive autonomy.
CEDAW and the HRC should avoid only urging states to create narrow exceptions to restrictive abortion laws, which do not fully enable women to exercise their human rights, and instead frame such recommendations to more broadly address the numerous human rights implications of restrictive abortion laws.

To fulfill women’s right to equality, CEDAW and the HRC should urge states to take all necessary measures to ensure that women do not face obstacles in accessing abortion services, including repealing discriminatory laws and policies that inhibit women’s access to such services and enacting positive measures to guarantee women across all sectors of society equitable access to abortion services.
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